USD#

270

USD Form 150 2017-2018 ESTIMATED LEGAL MAXIMUM GENERAL FUND BUDGET

General Fund Budget – Lines 1 through 21

1.	2017-18 Adjusted FTE enrollment (Excludes 4 yr old at-risk.) (from Table I or Table IV)	=_	341.3
2.	Estimated 9-20-2017 4yr old at risk FTE enrollment (f) (Must be approved.)(At-risk students count as .5 FTE) 0.0 + 0.0	=_	0.0
3.	. 2017-18 Total Adjusted FTE Enrollment including 4 yr old at risk (Line 1 + Line 2)	=_	341.3
4.	Estimated 9-20-2017 weighted low enrollment and high enrollment. (from line 3) 341.3 x 0.470155 factor (from Table II)	=_	160.5
5.	Estimated 2017-18 Bilingual Weighting (a) (b) A. $(9/20/17 \text{ Contact Hrs} $	=_	0.0
6.	Estimated 2017-18 weighted Career Technical Education (CTE) weighting (c) (9/20/17 CTE contact hrs	=_	15.0
7.	Estimated 2017-18 At-Risk Student weighting (d) A. 9/20/17 Hdct		48.4
8.	Estimated 2017-18 High-Density At-Risk Student Weighting (from Table VI, Line 2)	=_	0.0
9.	Estimated 2017-18 School Facilities Weighting (e) 9/20/17 School Facilities FTE	=	0.0
10	0. Estimated 2017-18 Transportation Weighting (Table III, Line 6) ÷ \$4,006	=_	15.6
11	1. Estimated 2017-18 Ancillary School Facilities Weighting. Amt approved by Board of Tax Appeals	=_	0.0
12	2. Estimated Special Education weighting. Amount of Sp. Ed. Funding (g) 466,000 ÷ \$4,006	=_	116.3
13	3. Estimated Declining Enrollment weighting. Amount approved by Board of Tax Appeals 0_ x .50 ÷ \$4,006	=_	0.0
14	4. Estimated FHSU Math & Science Academy FTE enrollment	=_	1.0
15	5. Estimated 2017-18 Virtual State Aid (Table V, Line 4)	=_	\$0
16	6. Estimated 2017-2018 operating budget. (Lines 3 through 14 times BASE + Line 15) 698.1 x \$4,006 + 0	=_	\$2,796,589
17	7. Estimated Cost of Living weighting (Must have 31% LOB) \$0 \(\frac{\district will use,}{\text{(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)}}\)	=_	0.0
18	8. Total 2017-2018 operating budget. (Include Cost of Living and FHSU) x \$4,006 + 0	=_	\$2,796,589
19	9. 2017-18 Extraordinary Need State Aid (General Fund)	=_	\$0
20	0. Total General Fund Budget Authority (Form 150 Line 18 + Line 19)	=_	\$2,796,589
Lo	ocal Option Budget See Form 155		
21	1. Estimated 2017-2018 LOB General Fund budget (excludes Virtual & FHSU weighting & includes higher of 2008-09 Spec Ed or current yr Spec Ed (Lines 3 through 11 + 13 + 17) = 580.8 x 4490 = \$2607792 + 466,000 (Spec Ed)	d) =	\$3,073,792

	TABLE I - Declining Enrollment Calculation	USD#	270		
1. September 20, 2016, FTE enrollment (Excludes 4 yr old at risk students; excludes Kindergarten.)					
2. September 20, 2015, FTE enrollment (Excludes 4 yr	2. September 20, 2015, FTE enrollment (Excludes 4 yr old at risk students; excludes Kindergarten.)				
3. FTE adjusted enrollment for budget purposes (higher	of line 1 or 2).		=	326.3	
4. Total FTE adjusted enrollment including Kindergarten	FTE to fund as 1.0. (Goes to page 1, line 1 if no milita	ry provision; see Table IV.)	=	341.3	
Enrollment of District	TABLE II - Low and High Enrollment Weighting Facto	or			
0 - 99.9		1.014331			
100 - 299.9	{[7337 - 9.655 (E - 100)]÷3642.4} -1			
300 - 1,621.9 1622 and over	{[5406 - 1.237500 (E - 300)]÷3642.4} -1 0.03504			
	l' 0)	0.00001			
'E' is 2017-2018 Adjusted FTE Enrollment (from Page 1,	line 3)				
EXAMPLE: (FTE of 954.0)					
{[5406 - 1.237500 (954.0 - 300)]÷3642.4}-1 {[5406 - 1.237500 (654.0)]÷3642.4}-1 {[5406 - 809.325]÷3642.4}-1 {4597.675÷3642.4} -1 1.261991-1 0.261991					
	TABLE III - Transportation Weighting				
Area of district in square miles 9-20-2017			=	275.8	
All public pupils transported or for whom transportation	on is being made available 9-20-2017				
who reside in the district 2.5 miles or more (Estimated		2-20-18	=	50.0	
3. Index of density = Line 2	50.0_ divide	ed by Line 1 275.8	=	0.18	
4. Using index of density (Line 3), determine transportate	ion weighting factor.		=	0.3129	
5. Estimated weighted FTE for transportation. 9-20-201 2.5 miles (line 2) 50.0		(Line 4) (to Line 10, Page 1)	=	15.6	
6. Take higher of 2017-18 Trans. State Aid	62,494 or 2016-17 Trans. State Aid	58,550 (to Line 10, Page 1)	= (62,494	
	TABLE IV - 2017 Senate Bill 19 Military Provision	USD#	270		
1. Does the district qualify for the Military Provision (for	declining enrollment)?	NO			
2. 2014-15 Audited FTE enrollment (excludes 4 yr old at	t-risk, Kindergarten and Virtual)		=	358.0	
 Estimated 2-20-2015 FTE of new students of military (Excludes 4 yr old at risk and Kindergarten) (Must be If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.) 		0.0	=	0.0	
4. 2015-16 Audited FTE enrollment (excludes 4 yr old at	t-risk, Kindergarten and Virtual)		=	326.3	
5. Estimated 2-20-2016 FTE of new students of military (Excludes 4 yr old at risk and Kindergarten) (Must be	families, not enrolled on 9-20-2015.	0.0	=	0.0	
If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.)					
6. 2016-17 Audited FTE enrollment (excludes 4 yr old at	,		=	320.0	
 Estimated 2-20-2017 FTE of new students of military (Excludes 4 yr old at risk and Kindergarten) (Must be If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.) 		0.0	=	0.0	
8. Sept. 20, 2014, FTE enrollment plus 2/20/15 Est. FTE	E (Excludes 4 yr old at risk, Kindergarten and virtual.)		=	358.0	
9. Sept. 20, 2015, FTE enrollment plus 2/20/16 Est. FTE			=	326.3	
10. Sept. 20, 2016, FTE enrollment plus 2/20/17 Est. FT			=	320.0	
	+ 326.3 +			_	
	(line 9) /3= 334.8		=	0.0	
(line 10) * Excludes 4 yr old at risk, Kindergarten and virtual; b	(goes to line 11) ut includes 2/20 military students if they qualify for the N	filitary Provision that year.			
12. 2017-18 FTE adjusted enrollment for budget purpos			=	326.3	

341.3

14. Total FTE adjusted enrollment including Kindergarten FTE to fund as 1.0. (Goes to page 1, line 1 if eligible for military provision.)

13. 9/20/16 KDG Hdct as 1.0 ______ 15_ + 2/20/17 Kindergarten Headcount as 1.0

	TABLE V Virtual Enrollment Weighting (K.S.A. 72-3715, 72-3716)	USD#	270
 Estimated 9/20/2017 FTE enrollment for full-time stu Estimated 9/20/2017 FTE enrollment for part-time st Estimated Virtual Credits* (19 years and older). Estimated Virtual State Aid 		0.0 X \$5,000 0.0 X \$1,700 0.00 X \$709	= 0 = 0 = 0 = \$0
*No student shall be counted for more than 6 credits	per year.		
technologies which predominately use internet-based in occurs asynchronously with the teacher and pupil in sep progress toward the next grade level and matriculation (5) requires the pupil to demonstrate competence in sul			
	TABLE VI High At-Risk Weighting Calculation	USD#	270
Estimated 2017-18 Free Lunch Percentage (1B divid A. 9/20/17 + 2/20/18 Headcount (from Open page) B. 9/20/17 + 2/20/18 Free Lunch Headcount (from Open Page)	•	= <u>349</u> = <u>100</u>	= 28.65 %
 Estimated 2017-18 High-Density At-Risk Student W A. USD Level (i or ii) i. High-Density At-Risk >= 50% (1B times 10.5% ii. High-Density At-Risk >= 35% and < 50% (1B B. SCHOOL Level 	(6) =	= 0.0 0.0 0.0 = 0.0	=0.0
	illingual students who are enrolled and attending in an ng by factor of 0.185. Total	on Line 5)	
	vocational education students who are enrolled and attending lividing by 6 (cannot exceed 6 hours for an individual student 30.0000 (Record). Total	
(d) USD must have an approved at-risk pupil assistand the higher of 10% of their 9/20 plus 2/20 enrollment	ce plan for the school district. The "adjusted free lunch" for d t or 9/20 plus 2/20 free lunch headcount.	listricts guarantees	
	must have adopted at least a 25% LOB. Only eligible to school construction of new facilities or new schools that were built pand USD 475.		
(f) Four year old at risk students are counted as .5 FTE of Education.	E. USD must be approved by the Kansas State Department		
(g) Comes from form 118 (line 20).			
(NOTE: If September 20 falls on a weekend, the follow	ving Monday will be the official count date.)		

9/18/2017 2:22 PM Form 150 Page 3

ADDITIONAL DEFINITION FOR SCHOOL FACILITIES (Must use a minimum LOB listed below to qualify for this provision.)

e) School Facilities Definition - School facilities weighting is available for school districts whose adopted local option budget (LOB) is at least 25% for 2014-15 and have constructed an entirely new facility or an addition to an existing facility. Only eligible to schools that had a bond election prior to July 1, 2015 and bond money was used for construction of new facilities or new schools that were built primarily with federal funds on a military reservation located on USD 207 or USD 475.

The determination of weighting will be based upon the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) students that are enrolled and attending in the new facility September 20 (and February 20 for districts qualifying under K.S.A. 72-6448). In the case of school districts that have constructed an addition to existing facilities, the number of students that are enrolled and attending in the new classroom facility will be counted on a full-time equivalent basis (see example 2.) The additional weighting for this provision of the law is applicable for two years only. For a new facility, the FTE is for the entire building (see example 1). For additions to an existing facility, the following calculating would be utilized.

Example #1: (For new buildings.)

For a totally new constructed building, the FTE equals the total enrollment FTE for that building.

	<u>Headcount</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Kindergarten	77	38.5
Grade 1	87	87.0
Grade 2	81	81.0
Grade 3	75	75.0
Weighting for example:		281.5 X 0.25 = 70.4 X \$4,006 = \$282,022

Example #2: (For new additions)

	Total number of students in each new classroom Number of class periods (divide by) Full-time equivalent enrollment =		
Example:	New classroom A = New classroom B = New classroom C = New classroom D = TOTAL =	154 133	students for the day students for the day students for the day students for the day
	divide by =		class periods FTE

Weighting for above example: $73.3 \times 0.25 = 18.3 \times 4,006 = $73,310$

Qualifying for New Facilities Weighting

1. Did the district receive Federal Impact Aid?

In order to qualify for new facilities weighting, a district must have adopted at least a 25% local option budget. Only eligible to schools that had a bond election prior to July 1, 2015 and bond money was used for construction of new facilities or new schools that were built primarily with federal funds on a military reservation located on USD 207 and USD 475.

Qualifying for Military Provision for Declining Enrollment (Goes to Table IV)

 Did the district have a military dependent stude Did the district decline in enrollment for 2016-17 	= NO = YES				
Qualifying for Military Provision for 2/20 weight	tings				
Is the 2/20/18 Est. FTE Enrollment	0.0	>=25 or 1% of the 9/20/17 Est. FTE Enrollment	348.0	=	NO

NO

9/18/2017 2:22 PM Form 150 Page 4